UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/645,970 | 08/22/2003 | Morteza Naghavi | D8562-16 | 8386 |
| 25397 7590 09/15/2009 DUANE MORRIS LLP - Houston | | | | INER |
| 3200 SOUTHW | EST FREEWAY | | LAURITZEN, AMANDA L | |
| SUITE 3150 HOUSTON, TX 77027 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3737 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/15/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/645,970 | NAGHAVI ET AL | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Amanda L. Lauritzen | 3737 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l l | dress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | | | | |
| 1 M Applicant's failure to timely file a prepar raphy to the Office | a latter mailed on 10 June 2009 | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire ir | nterest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity un | der 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for see | king court review | | | |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| The RCE filed 12 December 2008 was nonresponsing election by original presentation. No reply to remed Confirmation to mail out a Notice of Abandonment v. 11 Sept 2009. /BRIAN CASLER/ | ly the nonresponsive amendment | has been receive | d. | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737 | Examiner, Art Unit 3737 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term. | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice of | of Abandonment | Part of Pap | er No. 20081211 | | | |